

| <i>Vision: All Medi-Cal managed care enrollees will have access to health care which is safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable, and which serves to reduce the burden of illness and improve the health and functioning of the enrolled individuals and population.</i> | | |
|--|---|--|
| <i>Goal 1 : MMCD will increase and maintain accountability for the quality of care.</i> | | |
| Objective | Areas for Improvement | Strategies for Improvement |
| Objective 1a: contract language and policies incorporate quality requirements which: i. protect enrollee access to care ii. plan structure and operations sufficient to meet contractual obligations iii. define the scope of contracted services and standard of care iv. Define plan responsibilities for coordination of care v. Define plan responsibilities for quality monitoring, measurement, and improvement vi. Define minimum quality standards and goals for improvement. | Existing contracts and policies adequately address quality requirements - see Appendix A: Some contract sections need clarification Care coordination remains difficult No schedule for raising quality thresholds over time | <i>Monitor developments in medical care, revise contracts/policies as needed</i> <i>Develop policy letters on:</i> - Initial Health Assessment - Children with Special Health Care Needs - Dispensing of pharmaceuticals in emergency rooms - Pharmacy authorization request and denial processes - Advance directives <i>Work with other agencies to:</i> - develop a written matrix of state agency roles and responsibilities, specifically re: CSHCN. - seek to improve reciprocal care coordination - clarify state confidentiality laws re: sharing of information for care coordination and quality improvement. <i>Evaluate if current MPLs foster continuous system improvement; consider raising quality thresholds over time</i> |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Objective 1b: Monitor to ensure compliance with access/quality standards</p> | <p>Current monitoring activities include document review, medical audits, FSR, grievance review, annual EQRO review – see Appendix B</p> <p>Need increased focus and efficiency; reduce redundancy; target poor performers using data; focus on outcomes; and integration within MMCD</p> <p>No input from stakeholders re: monitoring priorities</p> <p>Few clear consequences for persistent poor performance</p> <p>Audit results not public quickly</p> | <p><i>Implement plan to increase efficiency of monitoring:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>investigate deeming of audits (e.g. NCQA) to reduce audits for high performing plans</i> - <i>improve information integration within MMCD – - Branch chief review of Dashboard Report</i> - <i>regular multi-unit review of each plan w/all monitoring information</i> - <i>use all data (audti, complaints, utilizatoin, quality) to target audits</i> - <i>develop criteria for targeting</i> - <i>use plan data (e.g. IHA tracking) for monitoring</i> - <i>develop strategies for targeted monitoring given resource limits (e.g. use FSRs with DHS chart over-read)</i> <p><i>Restructure MMCD Advisory Committee for in-depth discussion – subcommittee on monitoring</i></p> <p><i>Develop proposal for “progressive sanctions”, with DMHC and A&I</i></p> <p><i>Work with A&I to ensure timely release</i></p> |
| <p>Objective 1c: <i>Continuously measure the quality of care, to identify plan-specific and system-wide quality performance gaps and opportunities for improvement.</i></p> | <p>No Medi-Cal FFS quality measures</p> <p>Need more whole population/whole system quality measures</p> | <p><i>Explore possible strategies for comparable quality measures for FFS and managed care</i></p> <p><i>Explore methods to measure quality for all of enrolled population (e.g. across plans or by county)</i></p> |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Encounter data underutilized | <i>Implement encounter data improvement strategy:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - convene an encounter data improvement group w/ producers and users; - compare encounter data to “gold standard” data (e.g. hospital discharge) - establish benchmarks and targets for timeliness, accuracy, completeness - provide increased feedback to plans regarding encounter data - develop incentives for improved encounter data - develop sanctions for poor encounter data - set specific dates for use of encounter data (e.g. default enrollment, rate setting, quality incentives) |
| | Measure set limited | <i>Expand measure set (recognizing resources required for measurement)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - develop measure rotation plan - expand use of MIS/DSS data for quality measures (eg. Over-use Abc) - identify feasible outcomes measurements (with researchers) - use other available data to assess quality (e.g. hospital d/c, CHDP, cancer) - build on existing chart review (e.g. FSR, HEDIS) |
| | Inadequate measures of disparities | <i>Explore methods for better assessing disparities</i> |
| | CAHPS response low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with EQRO to improve response rate - Work with DSS and HCO/Maximus to improve contact info validity - Explore alternative methods to assess patient care experience |
| | CAHPS not county-specific | <i>Resources preclude county-level CAHPS</i> |
| | Limited dissemination of quality data | <i>Publish Consumer Guide to Quality – Fall, 2004.</i> <i>Produce annual quality review for each plan</i> |
| <i>Goal 2 : MMCD will improve the quality of care for Medi-Cal managed care enrollees.</i> | | |
| Objective | Areas for Improvement | Strategies for Improvement |
| Objective 2a: <i>Implement mechanisms for increased collaboration for quality</i> | Sharing of resources/best practices limited | <i>Increase plan-plan and plan-MMCD collaboration through:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - create mechanisms for sharing (e.g. website, listserv) - increase TA for poor performers - train MMCD and Plan staff on science of QI |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | | <p><i>Implement QI collaboratives: small group and state-wide.</i></p> <p><i>Increase plan-stakeholder quality collaboration:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>MMCD advisory group subcommittee on quality</i> - <i>collaboration with other DHS and State agencies on QI</i> - <i>include providers/CBOs in QI projects</i> |
| Objective 2b: <i>Incorporate the “care model” at practice level in QI</i> | Many QI projects not holistic in approach | <i>Incorporate practice level redesign and “care model” in QI collaboratives</i> |
| Objective 2c: <i>Implement financial and non-financial incentives for quality</i> | <p>Quality incentives weak</p> <p>Quality thresholds do not drive system improvement</p> <p>Reimbursement methods not aligned for quality</p> | <p><i>Implement financial and non-financial incentives for quality:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>public dissemination of quality performance results</i> - <i>default enrollment based on quality</i> - <i>increase emphasis on quality criteria in contract procurement</i> <p><i>Develop timeline for implementing additional incentives, e.g.:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>rewards for good quality (monetary bonuses, reduced audits)</i> - <i>progressive sanctions for poor quality (enrollment freeze)</i> <p><i>Develop MPLs for CAHPS</i></p> <p><i>Raise MPLs as needed to drive system improvement</i></p> <p><i>Link quality thresholds with incentives</i></p> <p><i>Incorporate financial incentives for quality in rate-setting methods.</i></p> <p><i>Incorporate health status based risk-adjustment in rate-setting</i></p> |
| Objective 2d: <i>Improve monitoring of plan QI projects</i> | Some QI projects lack results | <p><i>Restructure monitoring of plan QI activities:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>clarify requirements for initial proposals and reporting</i> - <i>conduct more structured review by EQRO</i> - <i>conduct comprehensive assessment of QI projects via periodic MMCD review</i> |
| Objective 2e: <i>Partner with stakeholders to improve quality</i> | <p>Stakeholders want more information</p> <p>More dialogue on quality needed</p> | <p><i>Distribute more information about quality (Consumer Guide, quality reports)</i></p> <p><i>Expand annual quality conference to invite stakeholders</i></p> <p><i>Explore feasibility of training beneficiary reps on quality issues</i></p> <p><i>Implement enrollment survey task force recs</i></p> <p><i>Re-structure MMCD Advisory committee</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>include provider representation</i> - <i>create committees, including quality</i> - <i>consider mechanisms to facilitate lay person participation</i> |

| | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|
| <i>Goal 3: Reduce health disparities</i> | | |
| Objective | Areas for Improvement | Strategies for Improvement |
| Objective 3a: <i>Reduce health disparities</i> | Disparities persist | <i>Implement methods to measure disparities in care Develop QI projects to address disparities</i> |
| <i>Goal 4: Continually improve MMCD performance</i> | | |
| Objective | Areas for Improvement | Strategies for Improvement |
| Objective 4a: <i>Increase staff expertise on quality</i> | Little staff training on QI | <i>Increase MMCD staff training on quality measurement and improvement</i> |
| Objective 4b: <i>Up-date Quality Strategy</i> | | <i>Conduct bi-annual review</i> |